

The motions that were not addressed by the EC and the managing agent yet in red. The one that was eventually allowed to be on the AGM agenda is in blue (with lot of mistakes in the paperwork that the managing agent Simon Wicks deliberately included).

Date: 8 October 2010

Subject: REQUEST FOR MOTION: Analysis of Optus proposal and past mistakes at the committee level

Date: 10 October 2010

Subject: REQUEST FOR MOTION: Motions for future proposals to run business on common property and extraordinary general meeting

Date: 14 October 2011

Subject: REQUEST FOR MOTION: Motions for future proposals to run business on common property and extraordinary general meeting

Date: 18 July 2011

Subject: MOTION SUBMITTAL: Strata Plan 52948 – Removal of By-Law 8

Date: 4 April 2011

Subject: REQUEST FOR MOTION: Analysis of Optus proposal and past mistakes at the committee level

Date: 25 May 2011

Subject: REQUEST FOR MOTION: Analysis of Optus proposal and past mistakes at the committee level

Date: 20 July 2011 (Phone conversation)

Subject: REQUEST FOR MOTION: Analysis of Optus proposal and past mistakes at the committee level

During 2012, I raised several more motions and we will see if they get included at the next general meeting:

15 June 2012 Subject: MOTION SUBMITTAL: SP52948 Townhouse rebates

23 June 2012 Subject: MOTION SUBMITTAL: Better Financial Reporting for SP52948

20 July 2012 Subject: MOTION SUBMITTAL: Introduction of Subcommittees in SP52948

This is an example of typical response when I asked to discuss dubious activities in regards to Optus proposal. As we saw later on (passage of time uncovers all trails), there was a strong reason why they did not want to engage in any talks: undeniable facts that they were involved in fraudulent activities.

*From: Bruce Copland <bcopland@toga.com.au>*

*To: johnf@bcms.com.au, wyo89614@bigpond.net.au, raichy@bigpond.com, JWARD@doh.health.nsw.gov.au, mcdonald151@bigpond.com, pogo@ozemail.com.au, aranwela@yahoo.com.au*

*Date: Mon, 20 Sep 2010 18:37:28 +1000*

*Subject: Re: REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION at AGM or first meeting afterwards*

*Dear John*

*This matter has been dealt with at a General Meeting and defeated.*

*There is no point in discussion at the AGM and I will rule it out of order as AGM meeting chairman.*

*The proposal is not part of the AGM motions. The minutes of the EGM show it was defeated and as far as I know that is the end of the matter. A number of people distributed information before the EGM, some of which was in very pejorative terms but as I am unable to confirm who sent out the inflammatory material I have done nothing other than explain the committee acted in good faith in putting such a clearly contentious issue to an all owners vote.*

*The chairman's report is an item that does not need discussion at the AGM. It is the majority committee view of our finances, budget preparation and levy calculation. There is no income in the budget from a lease (as prescribed by owners), and therefore no reason to discuss an item where there is no possibility of reconciliation of views about the science of emissions from such an antennae and the emotional reaction to it.*

*My report explains the background to changes in expenses and new proposed levies (perhaps already under strain depending on the latest electrical repairs to cables for block A lift)*

*Any matter can be raised at a committee meeting but again as owners have already decided what they want there is no point in further discussion about a lease to any telecommunications company.*

*Whether committee members think the proposal was good, bad or indifferent now is of no import other than understanding that the particular source of income is not available to reduce expenses.*

*I appeal to members good sense to move on and work at reducing levies in other ways.*

*Regards,*

*Bruce*

*Bruce Copland*

*Director, Toga Group*

*PO Box 1745, Strawberry Hills NSW 2012*

*Tel +61 2 9356 1011*

*Mob +61 4 1887 9442*